

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,794	11/23/2001	John Phillip Chevalier	SOMMR-006CUS	1594
7663	7590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER	
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
	75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/993,794	CHEVALIER, JOHN PHILLIP				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Estremsky	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ıly 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>148-158</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>148-151 and 156</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>152,157,158</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents		)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No. <u>09/372,912</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	л П <u>.</u>	(DTO 443)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/993,794

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 153 and 154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by claims 153 and 154 which appear to be duplicates of claim 151 whereby their dependence results in double, then triple recitation of the same limitation.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 148-151 and 156 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,762,348 to Matsumoto.

Matsumoto '348 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: an "only one electric motor" - 26, a "latch bolt" - 14, a "pawl" - 16, a "driving and indexing

member" - 29, "having at least one projection extending therefrom" - 30, at "east one pawl release member" - 20, "at least one coupling member" - 49, an "actuating member" - 38 which can contact 30 and is functionally connected with 49 which can engage or disengage from 20 which is connected to the pawl for its opening whereby contact of 30 with 38 results in part 49 first contacting part 20 and then moving it to release the pawl from the latch bolt.

As regards claim 149, the reference explicitly describes the motor being used to achieve a full latch condition where functional recitation defining capability does not patentably define from structure of the reference since "sequence" is broad enough to include operation as described in the prior art. For example, a combination lock is commonly opened by turning its dial to a sequence of numbers, that sequence including forward and reverse rotations.

**sequence** >noun 1 a particular order in which related things follow each other. 2 a set of related things that follow each other in a particular order. 3 a part of a film dealing with one particular event or topic. 4 Music a repetition of a phrase or melody at a higher or lower pitch. >verb 1 arrange in a sequence.

The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 789.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 152, 157, and 158 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Due to the nature of the rejections of claims 153 and 154, allowability is not indicated.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments are most in view of the new grounds of rejection. While the same reference has been applied, the claims' scope is drastically different than previous claims' scope whereby rejection is a substantial new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 3676

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gárý Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 5